

From: Frederick Lee
To: Eric Taylor; Tom Kmiec; Morris Seiferling
Sent: Sat Nov 15 18:42:35 2008
Subject: Fw: revisions to LUF

From: tmorton1@telus.net
To: Frederick Lee
Sent: Sat Nov 15 18:17:08 2008
Subject: revisions to LUF

Please see attached or below. Forward to Morris and whomever else needs to see it.

15 November

Re: Revisions to LUG Final Version

I've reviewed the latest round of revisions and changes, and am generally satisfied with four important exceptions and two questions. I also have some additional editing that I'd like to see done, but will bring that with me on Monday.

Not Acceptable:

1. Glossary, p.41, definition of "Aboriginals": As I told Min. Z at CPC, I cannot accept adding "Inuit" to the membership of Aboriginals involved in LAND USE ISSUES in Alberta. There are no Native Inuit communities, now or ever, in Alberta, so they have no connection to land use issues. If they have other Aboriginal rights that can be exercised in Alberta, ok. But they have nothing to do with land use policy.
1. Glossary, p. 43, definition of "private lands": "Metis settlements" have never been considered "private lands" in the conventional sense of the term in Alberta, and now is not the time to start. I could write pages on this, but to cut to the chase: if the Metis Settlements suddenly want to share in some of the potential benefits of private land ownership, do they also want to be subject to all the other restrictions and duties that attach to private land ownership in Alberta? I doubt it. They cannot have their cake and eat it too. The issue of eligibility of Metis Settlement lands for possible benefits under future Stewardship and Conservation programs is a legitimate question, but it is a question that will have to be discussed, not simply asserted.
1. p.34, first column: re-write as follows: "The Land Use Framework will be implemented in stages over the next four years. The first priorities are:

"The introduction and enactment of legislation required to support the implementation of this report. This legislation will be introduced in the Spring, 2009 Session of the Legislature.

"the development of metropolitan plans for the Capital and Calgary regions. Both of these are scheduled to be completed in 2009.

"the regional plans for the South Saskatchewan and Lower Athabasca regions. These are

both scheduled to be completed in 2010.

4. p.34, second column, fifth bullet: either clarify what this means or delete it. I certainly can't understand what it means.

Questions:

1. Cabinet Policy Committee: What is the status of this? There seems to be some changes/deletions on p. 4, but then it remains as before in the remainder of the document: pp. 2, 18, 25, 34. I thought Eric told me something about Premier's Office wanting it deleted, but it seems a little late in the day for this. Please clarify with Morris and PAB.

2. p.24: Requirement that Municipalities submit compliance plans or "context statements" (a terrible term) confirming/explaining how they have complied with the Regional Plan that applies to them. Why was this requirement deleted and what was it replaced with? I don't recall any discussion in CPC or otherwise about this. Please advise.